

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/605,473                         | 10/01/2003        | Allan McLane         | 718395.52               | 2472            |  |
| 27128                              | 7590 . 08/09/2005 | •                    | EXAM                    | EXAMINER        |  |
| BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP |                   |                      | FOX, JO                 | FOX, JOHN C     |  |
| 720 OLIVE STREET SUITE 2400        |                   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                 |  |
| ST. LOUIS, MO 63101                |                   |                      | 3753                    |                 |  |
|                                    |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/605,473  | MCLANE ET AL.  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | John Fox  | 3753   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI  | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo      | idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)                      |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth   |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)   | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br>AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered because  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | •   |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | •   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beface appeal; and/or   | tter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying the issues for   |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: <u>the claims recited additional subject mtter</u> .  |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | •   |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | :   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | llowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendment canceling the   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected:  | •   | Il be entered and an explanation of  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).                              |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  |   |  |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13.  Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)  |
|   |   | John Fox<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3753   |